IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI OXFORD DIVISION

ABDULMOHSEN ALASMAR O ALSUWAYLIMI **PLAINTIFF**

V.

NO. 3:23-CV-392-DMB-JMV

ANTONY BLINKEN, Secretary of State of the United States; MICHAEL RATNEY, U.S. Ambassador to the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

On January 4, 2024, Abdulmohsen Alasmar O Alsuwaylimi filed a motion to dismiss this case pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2), representing as cause that he "no longer wishes to pursue this action" and that "[t]o [his] knowledge there are no counterclaims asserted against [him]." Doc. #8 at 1. The defendants did not respond to the motion.

Upon consideration,² the motion to dismiss [8] is **GRANTED**. So the defendants' pending motion to dismiss [6] is **DENIED** as moot. This case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

SO ORDERED, this 19th day of January, 2024.

/s/Debra M. Brown UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

an action may be dismissed at the plaintiff's request only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper. If a defendant has pleaded a counterclaim before being served with the plaintiff's motion to dismiss, the action may be dismissed over the defendant's objection only if the counterclaim can remain pending for independent adjudication. Unless the order states otherwise, a dismissal under this paragraph (2) is without prejudice.

This case is properly dismissed with prejudice since Alsuwaylimi no longer wishes to pursue his claims and concedes dismissal would serve the interests of justice. And the docket reflects that the defendants have not asserted a counterclaim; had they, their lack of response to Alsuwaylimi's motion to dismiss indicates their lack of objection.

¹ According to Alsuwaylimi, granting his request for dismissal "would serve the interests of justice, including due process and the assistance of counsel." Doc. #8 at 1.

² Under Rule 41(a)(2):